

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

ONITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office address: COMMERCE ONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/977,818	10/15/2001	Ricki Dee Williams	2070.005100	4512
75	90 12/14/2006		EXAM	INER
B. Noel Kivlin			MANOSKEY, JOSEPH D	
Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert & Goetzel, P.C. P.O. Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Auistin, TX 78767-0398			
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/977,818	WILLIAMS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph D. Manoskey	2113				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,12-16,28 and 30-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,12-16,28 and 30-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/977,818

Art Unit: 2113

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10, 12-16, 28, and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ben-Meir et al., U.S. Patent 5,652,893, hereinafter referred to as "Ben-Meir" in view of Park, U.S. Patent 5,649,297.
- 3. Referring to claim 10, Ben-Meir discloses the use of a first and second power supply that are connected to backplane. Ben-Meir also teaches the lines being redundant (See Fig. 1, Col. 2, lines 1-8, and Col. 3, lines 15-38). This is interpreted as a first redundant source of power adapted to provide power to a first split path, and a second redundant source of power adapted to provide power to a second split path, wherein the first and second split paths are adapted to transmit signals.

Ben-Meir does not teach a first portion and a second portion of a message, wherein the first and second split paths are adapted to convey signal corresponding to a first portion and a second portion of a message, respectively in parallel from a source to a destination, however Ben-Meir does teach redundant transmission and reception

Application/Control Number: 09/977,818 Page 3

Art Unit: 2113

lines (See Col. 2, lines 2-4). Park teaches transmitting different portions data from the same message across two separate channels (See Col. 1, lines 45-58 and Col. 3, lines 14-18).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the use of two channels with the redundant power supplies of Ben-Meir. This would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to do because Ben-Meir shows a desire of using ever evolving network technology that support higher speed protocols all the satisfy the increasing bandwidth demands and Park teaches more than doubling the bandwidth by using the two channels (See Ben-Meir, Col. 1, lines 46-53 and Park Col. 3, lines 23-29).

- 4. Referring to claims 12 and 13, Ben-Meir and Park teach all the limitations (See rejection of claim 10) including the use of redundant power supplies and redundant lines, this is interpreted as a first redundant source of power comprises a first and second power supply adapted to provide a first and second portion of power to the first split path and a second redundant source of power comprises a third and fourth power supply adapted to provide a third and a fourth portion of power to the second split path (See Ben-Meir, Fig. 1, Col. 2, lines 1-8, and Col. 3, lines 15-38).
- 5. Referring to claim 14, Ben-Meir and Park disclose all the limitations (See rejection of claim 10) including the use of an environment monitor, this interpreted as an

Art Unit: 2113

environmental system monitoring demon adapted to detect malfunctions in the first, second, third and fourth power supplies (See Ben-Meir, Fig. 1 and Col. 3, lines 21-28).

Page 4

- 6. Referring to claim 15 and 16, Ben-Meir and Park teach all the limitations (See rejection of claim 14) including power management determining power allocation and dealing with power failures (See Ben-Meir, Col. 4, lines 39-43) and Ben-Meir teaches the use of redundant lines and having a third and fourth power supply (See Ben-Meir, Fig. 1 and Col. 2, lines 1-8). This is interpreted as the ESMD being adapted to instruct the system control unit to transmit messages along the second split path if the first split path becomes substantially unable to transmit messages because the first and second power supplies become substantially unable to provide power to the first split path and adapted to instruct the system control unit to transmit messages along the first split path if the second split path becomes substantially unable to transmit messages because the third and fourth power supplies become substantially unable to provide power to the second split path.
- Referring to claim 28, Ben-Meir discloses the use of a first and second power supply that are connected to backplane. Ben-Meir also teaches the lines being redundant (See Fig. 1, Col. 2, lines 1-8, and Col. 3, lines 15-38). This is interpreted as a method providing a first redundant source of power to a first split path, and providing a second redundant source of power to a second split path, wherein the first and the second split paths are adapted to transmit signals. Ben-Meir also teaches managing

Art Unit: 2113

the power system with a power management system, this interpreted as managing the first and second redundant sources of power (See Col. 3, lines 29-38).

Page 5

Ben-Meir does not teach a first portion and a second portion of a message, wherein the first and second split paths are adapted to convey signal corresponding to a first portion and a second portion of a message, respectively in parallel from a source to a destination, however Ben-Meir does teach redundant transmission and reception lines (See Col. 2, lines 2-4). Park teaches transmitting different portions data from the same message across two separate channels (See Col. 1, lines 45-58 and Col. 3, lines 14-18).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the use of two channels with the redundant power supplies of Ben-Meir. This would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to do because Ben-Meir shows a desire of using ever evolving network technology that support higher speed protocols all the satisfy the increasing bandwidth demands and Park teaches more than doubling the bandwidth by using the two channels (See Ben-Meir, Col. 1, lines 46-53 and Park Col. 3, lines 23-29).

8. Referring to claims 30 and 31, Ben-Meir and Park teach all the limitations (See rejection of claim 28) including the use of redundant power supplies and redundant lines, this is interpreted as a first redundant source of power comprises a first and second power supply adapted to provide a first and second portion of power to the first split path and a second redundant source of power comprises a third and fourth power

Application/Control Number: 09/977,818

Art Unit: 2113

supply adapted to provide a third and a fourth portion of power to the second split path (See Ben-Meir, Fig. 1, Col. 2, lines 1-8, and Col. 3, lines 15-38).

Page 6

- 9. Referring to claim 32, Ben-Meir and Park disclose all the limitations (See rejection of claim 28) including the use of an environment monitor, this interpreted as managing the first and second redundant power supplies comprises determining if the first, second, third, and fourth power supplies are malfunctioning (See Ben-Meir, Fig. 1 and Col. 3, lines 21-28).
- 10. Referring to claim 33 and 34, Ben-Meir and Park teach all the limitations (See rejection of claim 28) including power management determining power allocation and dealing with power failures (See Ben-Meir, Col. 4, lines 39-43) and Ben-Meir teaches the use of redundant lines and having a third and fourth power supply (See Ben-Meir, Fig. 1 and Col. 2, lines 1-8). This is interpreted as instructing the system to transmit messages along the second split path if the first split path becomes substantially unable to transmit messages because the first and second power supplies become substantially unable to provide power to the first split path and adapted to instruct the system control unit to transmit messages along the first split path if the second split path becomes substantially unable to transmit messages because the third and fourth power supplies become substantially unable to provide power to the second split path.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,818 Page 7

Art Unit: 2113

11. Claims 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ben-Meir and Park in view of Weinstein, U.S. Patent 5,939,799, hereinafter referred as "Weinstein".

12. Referring to claims 35 and 36, Ben-Meir and Park discloses all the limitations (See rejection of claim 10) except for the power distribution network comprising a first and second capacitor network comprising at least one capacitor coupled to the first split path and adapted to store the portion of power provided by the first or second power supply for a selected duration, however Ben-Meir does teach having redundant power sources and a desire to prevent any amount of downtime from the failure of a power supply (See Col. 3, lines 15-28). Weinstein teaches the use of capacitors and a switch connected to the power supply experies that supply power to a load during a switch from a first to a second power supply (See Col. 1, lines 60-64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the switch and capacitors of Weinstein with the redundant power supplies of Ben-Meir and Park. This would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to do because it prevents any interruption or downtime of the power during switching (See Weinstein, Col. 1, lines 60-64).

13. Referring to claim 37, Ben-Meir, Park, and Weinstein teach all the limitations (See rejection of claim 36) including the system having a power management system, this interpreted as the first and second capacitor networks coupled in a current sharing

Application/Control Number: 09/977,818 Page 8

Art Unit: 2113

design to substantially provide redundant power to the first split path reducing the chance that a malfunction in one power supply will introduce errors into signals transmitted along the first split path and compromise the function of the system (See Ben-Meir, Col. 3, lines 29-38).

14. Referring to claim 38, Ben-Meir, Park, and Weinstein disclose all the limitations (See rejection of claim 36) including the system having a switch to switch between the first and second power supplies, this is interpreted as a the first and second capacitor networks coupled at a switch that substantially provides redundant power to the first split path, reducing the chance that a malfunction in one power supply will introduce errors into signals transmitted along the first split path and compromise the function of the system (See Weinstein, Col. 1, lines 60-64).

## Response to Arguments

- 15. Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-4 of amendment, filed 28 September 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 10, 12-16, 28, 30-38 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of new found prior art, see above rejections.
- 16. Concerning the claims 12 and 13, the Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach providing first and second portion of power to the first path and the providing a

Art Unit: 2113

third and fourth portion of power to the second path. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Ben-Meir teaches the use of redundant power supplies and redundant lines, this (See Ben-Meir, Fig. 1, Col. 2, lines 1-8, and Col. 3, lines 15-38). The redundant power supplies provide temporal portions of power to the paths.

#### Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Manoskey whose telephone number is (571) 272-3648. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (7:30am to 4pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/977,818

Art Unit: 2113

09/977,818

50^

Art Unit: 2113

JDM December 8, 2006

Robert Beausol St

Page 10